

| | | |
|---|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/585,134 | Applicant(s) ALBERTSON ET AL |
| | Examiner Katherine W. Mitchell | Art Unit 3677 |

All Participants:**Status of Application:** _____(1) Katherine W. Mitchell.

(3) _____.

(2) John Geizentanner.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 December 2005**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

14

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: examiner called to suggest examiner's amendment. examiner noted that "finished" would be specifically clarified in the reasons for allowance as not including a fastener awaiting galvanizing -- finished would require a fastener ready to use as is. Also, examiner noted that the claim would be clear that construction connectors used in the building trades would be the required connectors, and that children's toys and pounding blocks would not read on the claims. .